

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron Letters

Tetrahedron Letters 48 (2007) 8238-8241

Novel formal [2+3] cycloaddition between substituted phenols and furan

Didier Bérard, Alexandre Jean and Sylvain Canesi*

Laboratoire de Méthodologie et Synthèse de Produits Naturels, Université du Québec à, Montréal, C.P. 8888, Succ. Centre-Ville, Montréal, Québec, Canada H3C 3P8

> Received 28 June 2007; revised 8 September 2007; accepted 10 September 2007 Available online 14 September 2007

Abstract—Treatment of various substituted phenols in the presence of furan, iodobenzene diacetate, and trifluoroethanol promotes oxidative formal [2+3] cycloaddition in moderate to useful yields. © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The unusual ring system 1 occurs in natural products such as panacene, 2, a shark antifeedant,¹ psorofebrin, 3, an antileukemic xanthone,² and other bioactive substances.³ (Scheme 1). While a number of elegant routes to substructure 1 are known,⁴ we have become interested in an approach that rests upon a hypothetical 'oxidative [2+3] cycloaddition' between a phenol and a furan, according to the format of Scheme 2. In this Letter, we disclose a methodology to effect this yet unknown transformation.

A key aspect of the new reaction is the use of iodobenzene diacetate ('DIB') as the oxidant. The potential of this environmentally benign reagent is especially apparent from the pioneering work of Kita.⁵ In particular, DIB promotes noteworthy oxidative transformations of phenol⁶ and aniline derivatives,⁷ probably through cationic processes, in a manner consistent with the recent requirements for green chemical processes. As ob-



Scheme 1.

4054; e-mail: canesi.sylvain@uqam.ca





served by Kita et al.,⁸ DIB reactions generally occur best in solvents such as trifluoroethanol (TFE) or hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP).⁹ Under these conditions, oxidative attack of phenols in the presence of unhindered heteronucleophiles¹⁰ tends to proceed through pathway b (Scheme 3). However, we have recently determined that carbon-based nucleophiles such as thiophene¹¹ attack the presumed intermediate **6** at a position adjacent to the carbonyl group (pathway a), resulting in the formation of products **11**, albeit in low yield.

Interestingly, the use of furan in lieu of thiophene in the same reaction produces none of the furanyl phenols analogous to **11**. Interestingly, the use of furan in lieu of thiophene in the same reaction produces none of **11**. Instead, it efficiently leads to tricyclic compounds **12** resulting from a formal oxidative [2+3] cycloaddition (Scheme 4). Thus, various substituted phenols are converted to **12** in 38–61% yield, presumably by the mechanism depicted in Scheme 4. The reaction is best carried

Keywords: Hypervalent iodine; Furan; Phenols; Cycloaddition [2+3]. * Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 514 987 3000x5013; fax: +1 514 987

^{0040-4039/\$ -} see front matter @ 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2007.09.062



Scheme 3.





out in TFE as the solvent (not HFIP; vide infra) and in the presence of excess furan. 12

A summary of the representative experiments with *para*substituted phenols appears in Table 1.¹³ It is worthy of note that the reaction tolerates moderately nucleophilic functionalities, such as a sulfonamide, that may be present on the substrate phenol (cf. entry **12e**). A 4-trimethylsilyl group is also readily tolerated (cf. **12f**).

The reaction succeeds with polycyclic phenols such as 2naphthol 13 and with polysubstituted ones such as 15^{14} (Scheme 5). The latter example seems to indicate that the process is fairly insensitive to steric effects. In fact, compound 15 is quickly converted to 16 in good yield despite the presence of a bulky *tert*-butyl group at the ortho position of the phenolic OH.

Oxidation of 3,4-xylenol leads to an inseparable $\sim 1:1$ mixture of compounds 18 and 19 in 41% yield (Scheme 6). Evidently, the methyl group at the meta position exerts an insufficient degree of regiocontrol. Regardless, the various examples provided herein suggest that the new reaction is fairly general and certainly synthetically useful.

Table 1.

R	$\begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \text{OH} \\ 4 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \stackrel{O}{\longrightarrow} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \frac{\text{Phl(OAc)}_2}{\text{TFE}} \\ \text{TFE} \end{array} R^{-1} \end{array}$	(+/-) 0 12
Entry	R	Yield
a	<i>t</i> -Bu	61
b	Me	38
с	OMe	41
d	CH ₂ COOMe	52
e	CH ₂ CH ₂ NHTs	47
f	SiMe ₃	59



Compounds 12 are stable under neutral conditions and can be chromatographed without incident. However, sub-stoichiometric amounts of strong protonic acids, such as TFA, induce rapid and quantitative isomerization to biaryls. This is exemplified in Scheme 7 by the conversion of 12a to 21a.¹⁵ Phenols 21 are significantly more electron-rich than the starting species 4. Consequently, they are more readily oxidized by DIB than the corresponding starting phenols, resulting in the formation of intractable polymeric materials. Thus it is essential to minimize the formation of 21 during the main oxidative process. In a sense, the heterobicyclic system in 12 serves as a protecting group for the phenolic functionality, and it prevents undesirable side reactions of the latter.

Phl(OAc)₂

PhI(OAc)₂

ò

48%

69%

OF

13

15

Available evidence suggests that compounds 12 are fairly stable in media containing moderately acidic TFE. By contrast, the significantly more acidic HFIP appears to promote conversion to 21, resulting in overoxidation and the ultimate formation of much polymeric matter. We believe this to be the reason why TFE, not HFIP, is the optimal reaction solvent in the present case. Even so, we suspect that some loss of product through the above sequence of events (formation of 21 and further oxidation) occurs even during reactions run in TFE, because more than 1 equiv of DIB is required to oxidize all the starting phenol.

The difference between the behavior of thiophene and of furan in otherwise identical reactions may be rationalized considering that the high electronegativity of the oxygen atom in 6 was likely to render this transient intermediate short-lived, excessively electrophilic and consequently very reactive with nucleophiles such as thiophene or furan. Formation of biaryl 11 versus tricyclic compound 12 depends on the stability of oxonium 7 ver-

8239

14



Scheme 6.



Scheme 7.

sus sulfonium 10 generated in the media. These results may be explained if we consider that furan has a weaker aromatic character than thiophene. Thus, the formed oxonium can be trapped by the nucleophilic hydroxy and leads to 12, whereas the sulfonium is transformed faster to thiophene derivative 11 and probably overoxidized into polymers. This may explain the low yield (17%) of this transformation with thiophene.

In summary, a practical and new method to induce a formal 'oxidative [2+3] cycloaddition' between substituted phenols and furan is now available. The transformation provides new strategic opportunities in the chemical synthesis of oxygenated substances, and results in ongoing investigations in this domain will be disclosed in due course.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Anne Danion (Université du Québec à Montréal) for her support and we are grateful to science faculty of UQAM, for a grant to young researcher.

References and notes

- (a) Kinnel, R.; Duggan, A. J.; Eisner, T.; Meinwald, J.; Miura, I. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1977**, *18*, 3913–3916; (b) Feldman, K. S.; Mechem, C. C.; Nader, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1982**, *104*, 4011–4012; (c) Feldman, K. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1982**, *23*, 3031–3034; (d) Boukouvalas, J.; Pouliot, M.; Robichaud, J.; MacNeil, S.; Snieckus, V. Org. Lett. **2006**, *8*, 3597–3599.
- (a) Abou-Shoer, M.; Suwanborirux, K.; Chang, C.; Cassady, J. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 3385–3388;
 (b) Abou-Shoer, M.; Suwanborirux, K.; Habib, A. A. M.; Chang, C. J.; Cassady, J. M. *Phytochemistry* **1993**, *34*, 1413–1420.
- (a) Psoredes, L.; Jakupovic, J.; Bohlmaq, F.; King, R. M.; Ronbinson, H. *Phytochemistry* **1988**, *27*, 3329–3330; (b) Brimble, M. A.; Stuart, S. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1990**, 881–885; (c) Reisch, J.; Dharmaratne, H.; Ranjith, W.; Schiwek, K.; Henkel, G. *J. Chem Res. Synop.* **1994**, *5*, 157; (d) Heald, R. A.; Dexheimer, T. S.; Vankayalapati, H.; Siddiqui-Jain, A.; Szabo, L. Z.; Gleason-Guzman, M. C.; Hurley, L. H. *J. Med. Chem.* **2005**, *48*, 2993–3004.

- (a) Semmelhack, M. F.; Bodurow, C.; Baum, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 3171–3174; (b) Tamaru, Y.; Kobayashi, T.; Kawamura, S.; Ochiai, H.; Hojo, M.; Yoshida, Z. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 3207–3210; (c) Brimble, M. A.; Brimble, M. T.; Gibson, J. J. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1989, 179–184; (d) Stuart, J. G.; Nicholas, K. M. Heterocycles 1991, 32, 949–963; (e) Gray, M.; Parsons, P. J. Synlett 1991, 729–730; (f) Tamura, E.; Kawasaki, K.; Mikame, D.; Katsuki, T. Synlett 1994, 609–610; (g) Boukouvalas, J.; Fortier, G.; Radu, I.-I. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 916–917.
- 5. (a) Tamura, Y.; Yakura, T.; Haruta, J.; Kita, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 3927-3930; (b) Kita, Y.; Tohma, H.; Hatanaka, K.; Takada, T.; Fujita, S.; Mitoh, S.; Sakurai, H.; Oka, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 3684-3691; (c) Kita, Y.; Takada, T.; Gyoten, M.; Tohma, H.; Zenk, M. H.; Eichhorn, J. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 5854-5864; (d) Kita, Y.; Gyoten, M.; Ohtsubo, M.; Tohma, H.; Takada, T. Chem. Commun. 1996, 1481-1482; (e) Takada, T.; Arisawa, M.; Gyoten, M.; Hamada, R.; Tohma, H.; Kita, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 7698-7706; (f) Arisawa, M.; Utsumi, S.; Nakajima, M.; Ramesh, N. G.; Tohma, H.; Kita, Y. Chem. Commun. 1999, 469-470; (g) Tohma, H.; Morioka, H.; Takizawa, S.; Arisawa, M.; Kita, Y. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 345-352; (h) Hamamoto, H.; Anilkumar, G.; Tohma, H.; Kita, Y. Chem. Eur. J. 2002, 8, 5377-5383.
- (a) Braun, N. A.; Ciufolini, M. A.; Peters, K.; Peters, E.-M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 4667–4670; (b) Braun, N. A.; Bray, J.; Ousmer, M.; Peters, K.; Peters, E.-M.; Bouchu, D.; Ciufolini, M. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 4397–4408; (c) Ousmer, M.; Braun, N. A.; Bavoux, C.; Perrin, M.; Ciufolini, M. A. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 7534–7538; (d) Canesi, S.; Belmont, P.; Bouchu, D.; Rousset, L.; Ciufolini, M. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 5193–5195; (e) Canesi, S.; Bouchu, D.; Ciufolini, M. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 4336–4338; *Angew. Chem.* **2004**, *116*, 4436–4438; (f) Peuchmaur, M.; Wong, Y.-S. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 5374–5379.
- (a) Akai, S.; Kawashita, N.; Morita, N.; Nakamura, Y.; Iio, K.; Kita, Y. *Heterocycles* 2002, *58*, 75–78; (b) Zawada, P. V.; Banfield, S. C.; Kerr, M. A. *Synlett* 2003, 971–974.
- Kita, Y.; Tohma, H.; Kikuchi, K.; Inagaki, M.; Yakura, T. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 435–438.
- 9. TFE (pKa ca. 12.4, nucleophilicity ca. −2.8); HFIP (pKa ca. 9.3, nucleophilicity ca. −4.2).
- (a) Scheffler, G.; Seike, H.; Sorensen, E. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 4593–4596; (b) Drutu, I.; Njardarson, J. T.; Wood, J. L. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 493–496; (c) Canesi, S.; Bouchu, D.; Ciufolini, M. A. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 175–177;

8240

(d) Ciufolini, M. A.; Canesi, S.; Ousmer, M.; Braun, N. A. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 5318–5337.

- 11. Jean, A.; Cantat, J.; Bérard, D.; Bouchu, D.; Canesi, S. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2553–2556.
- 12. Experimental procedure: At -10 °C (ice/NaCl), a solution of PhI(OAc)₂ ('DIB', 98 mg, 0.3 mmol, 1.5 equiv) in CF₃CH₂OH ('TFE', 0.35 mL) was added dropwise for 1 min to a vigorously stirred solution of phenol (0.2 mmol, 1 equiv) in furan (164 mg, 2.4 mmol, 12 equiv) and TFE (0.6 mL). The mixture was then stirred for 20 s, diluted with 6 mL of ethyl acetate, poured into a separatory funnel, washed with 3 mL of a saturated solution of K₂CO₃ and 3 mL of brine. The solution is concentrated under vacuum and the residue is purified by silica gel chromatography with an appropriate mixture of ethyl acetate/hexane.
- 13. *NMR data:* a: ¹H (300 MHz, CDCl₃ δ = 7.48 (d, 1H, J = 1.8 Hz), 7.34 (dd, 1H, J = 8.2, 1.8 Hz), 6.82 (d, 1H, J = 8.2 Hz), 6.65 (d, 1H, J = 2.9 Hz), 6.06 (d, 1H, J =7.6 Hz), 5.97 (dd, 1H, J = 7.6, 2.3 Hz), 5.31 (t, 1H, J = 2.3 Hz), 1.33 (s, 9H). ¹³C (75 MHz, CDCl₃ $\delta =$ 157.1, 151.1, 143.8, 128.4, 124.1, 122.9, 110.1, 100.0, 89.0, 85.5, 34.3, 31.6. b: ¹H (300 MHz, CDCl₃ $\delta = 7.24$ (d, 1H, J = 1.8 Hz), 7.08 (dd, 1H, J = 8.2, 1.8 Hz), 6.77 (d, 1H, J = 8.2 Hz), 6.63 (d, 1H, J = 2.9 Hz), 6.03 (d, 1H, J =7.6 Hz), 5.97 (dd, 1H, J = 7.6, 2.3 Hz), 5.31 (t, 1H, J = 2.3 Hz), 2.31 (s, 3H). ¹³C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 157.2$, 151.0, 131.8, 130.1, 126.5, 124.5, 110.4, 100.1, 88.8, 85.3, 20.6. c: ¹H (300 MHz, CDCl₃ δ = 6.99 (d, 1H, J = 2.7 Hz), 6.86 (dd, 1H, J = 8.8, 2.7 Hz), 6.78 (d, 1H, J = 8.8 Hz), 6.63 (d, 1H, J = 2.7 Hz), 6.03 (d, 1H, J =7.6 Hz), 5.97 (dd, 1H, J = 7.6, 2.7 Hz), 5.29 (t, 1H, J = 2.7 Hz), 3.77 (s, 3H). ¹³C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 154.1, 150.9, 150.9, 125.0, 117.8, 111.1, 110.7, 100.2,$ 89.0, 85.5, 56.0. d: ¹H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 7.36$ (d, 1H, J = 1.8 Hz), 7.18 (dd, 1H, J = 8.2, 1.8 Hz), 6.82 (d, 1H, J = 8.2 Hz), 6.63 (d, 1H, J = 2.3 Hz), 6.04 (d, 1H,

J = 7.6 Hz), 5.97 (dd, 1H, J = 7.6, 2.3 Hz), 5.30 (t, 1H, J = 2.3 Hz), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.58 (s, 2H). ¹³C (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) $\delta = 172.2, 151.2, 132.2, 130.3, 127.1, 126.2, 125.0,$ 110.8, 99.9, 89.2, 85.0, 52.0, 40.3. e: ¹H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 7.69$ (d, 2H, J = 8.2 Hz), 7.28 (d, 2H, J = 8.2 Hz), 6.97 (dd, 1H, J = 8.2, 1.7 Hz), 6.74 (d, 1H, J = 8.2 Hz), 6.61 (d, 2Hz), 6.61 (d, 2Hz)1H, J = 2.7 Hz), 5.97 (m, 2H), 5.29 (t, 1H, J = 2.7 Hz), 4.56 (t, 1H, J = 7.1 Hz), 3.17 (q, 2H, J = 7.2 Hz), 2.70 (t, 2H, J = 7.2 Hz), 2.42 (s, 3H). ¹³C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 154.1, 150.9, 150.9, 125.0, 117.8, 111.1, 110.7, 100.2,$ 89.0, 85.5, 56.0. f: ¹H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 7.59$ (d, 1H, J = 1.6 Hz), 7.42 (dd, 1H, J = 8.2, 1.6 Hz), 6.87 (d, 1H, J = 8.2 Hz), 6.61 (d, 1H, J = 2.7 Hz), 6.04 (d, 1H, J =7.7 Hz), 5.94 (dm, 1H, J = 7.7 Hz), 5.28 (t, 1H, J =2.7 Hz), 0.23 (s, 9H). ¹³C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 160.1$, 151.2, 136.5, 131.8, 131.4, 124.4, 110.5, 99.9, 88.9, 85.1, -0.8

- 14. *NMR* data: Compound 14: ¹H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 7.92$ (d, 1H, J = 8.2 Hz), 7.84 (d, 1H, J = 8.2 Hz), 7.82 (d, 1H, J = 8.2 Hz), 7.56 (td, 1H, J = 8.2 Hz), 7.87 (td, 1H, J = 8.2, 1.2 Hz), 7.15 (d, 1H, J = 9.4 Hz), 6.69 (d, 1H, J = 2.9 Hz), 6.52 (d, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz), 6.17 (dm, 1H, J = 7.0 Hz), 5.38 (t, 1H, J = 2.9 Hz). ¹³C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 157.5$, 151.2, 137.4, 132.4, 130.9, 130.1, 128.6, 127.5, 123.3, 122.1, 112.8, 99.9, 89.8, 85.1. Compound 16: ¹H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 7.12$ (s, 1H), 7.04 (s, 1H), 6.60 (d, 1H, J = 2.3 Hz), 6.03 (d, 1H, J = 8.2 Hz), 5.96 (dm, 1H, J = 8.2 Hz), 5.30 (t, 1H, J = 2.3 Hz), 2.32 (s, 3H), 1.35 (s, 9H). ¹³C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 150.5$, 133.8, 129.7, 128.5, 125.0, 123.9, 100.5, 87.8, 85.3, 34.0, 29.1, 20.8.
- 15. *NMR data:* ¹H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.54 (m, 2H), 7.25 (dd, 1H, *J* = 8.2, 2.3 Hz), 7.04 (br, 1H), 6.92 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 6.72 (d, 1H, *J* = 3.5 Hz), 6.55 (dd, 1H, *J* = 3.5, 1.8 Hz), 1.34 (s, 9H). ¹³C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 150.1, 143.3, 141.1, 131.1, 126.5, 122.8, 116.7, 115.7, 111.6, 106.3, 34.1, 31.4.